

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

ANDI KRAJA,

Plaintiff,

v.

BELLAGIO, LLC, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:15-cv-01983-APG-NJK

**ORDER DENYING  
RECONSIDERATION OF  
MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S ORDER**

(ECF No. 43, 51, 55)

Magistrate Judge Koppe entered an order granting defendants' motion to strike plaintiff's expert. ECF No. 40. Plaintiff Andi Kraja filed a motion asking me to reconsider that order. ECF No. 43. After defendants filed their response (ECF No. 45), Kraja filed a reply (ECF No. 48) without obtaining permission of the court as required by Local Rule IB 3-1(a). Accordingly, defendants moved to strike Kraja's reply. ECF No. 51. That prompted Kraja to file a motion for leave to file his reply. ECF No. 55. I grant defendants' motion to strike the improperly-filed reply, but grant Kraja's motion to leave to file his reply.

I have reviewed Judge Koppe's Order, Kraja's motion for reconsideration, and the related papers. Judge Koppe's Order is not "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Local Rule IB 3-1(a). Therefore,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that defendants' motion to strike Kraja's reply (ECF No. 51) is **GRANTED**, and Kraja's motion for leave to file a reply (ECF No. 55) is **GRANTED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Magistrate Judge Koppe's Order is affirmed in its entirety, and Kraja's motion for reconsideration (ECF No. 43) is **DENIED**.

DATED this 29<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2016.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ANDREW P. GORDON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE